OBJECTIVES

- To describe collaborative development of the content, format, implementation, and operational use of timely information for mitigating the consequences of conjunctions among satellites.
- To encourage applying these advances in ground systems that support important space missions.
INTRODUCTION:

• The growing significance of close approaches among satellites demands greater accuracy and precision than Two Line Element Sets (TLE) can provide.
• Safe and collaborative space operations require greater information scope, accuracy, and precision than past operations.
• Civil, commercial, and governmental stakeholders are collaborating to develop essential exchange mechanisms.
BACKGROUND:

• There are many different approaches to perceiving conjunctions.
  – Close approach within a specified distance
  – Probability of collision

• There are many approaches to determining probability of collision
  – Covariance volume intersection
  – Heuristic

• Minimum information required
  – Force models used
  – Reference frame, coordinate system, time scale
  – Measurement Uncertainty
  – Probability of Collision and technique for determining the probability.
CONJUNCTION PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS

• Satellites pass within a few kilometers of each other thousands of times each day.
  – Over 17,000 conjunctions within 5 km on 24 Jan 2011.

• Estimates based on even the most current and reliable data are very uncertain
  – Epoch of last orbit determination
  – Epoch and precision of reference frame
  – Frequency and distribution of underlying observations
  – Quality of observations
Trajectory Estimation

• Orbit determination is statistical hypothesis testing
  – Fitting a dynamic hypothesis (equations of motion) to redundant data sets

• Least Squares
  – Minimize sum square of residuals between the fit with free parameters and the observations.
  – Normal Equation (linear form)
    • \( X = (A^TWA)^{-1} A^Tb \)
  – For the orbit problem, it’s non-linear
    • \( \delta x = (A^TWA)^{-1} A^Tb \)
    • \( (A^TWA)^{-1} \) – Covariance matrix
    • Corrections to the state (\( \delta x \)) found through iteration
Least Squares Overview

Initial Orbit Determination

How good?
- Radius of Curvature

What state representation?
- Equinoctial, Keplerian, other

Obtain Good Initial State Estimate, $X$

loop through observations

Propagate $X$ to observation times

Form Residuals

Solve Jacobian

Solve Least Squares

Converged?

How to solve for Jacobian?
- Analytical, finite differencing

Least Squares Solution method?
- Classical, Single Value Decomposition
Data Alignment

- Data Alignment is arranging all of the observations in a common reference frame, coordinate system, and time scale.
  - Different reference frames are best for different applications.
  - The Earth is not a sphere, its axis is tilted, its orbit is not circular, and all of these change with time.
  - Time is measured in many different ways
    - Atomic time
    - UTC in atomic seconds
    - UT1 in Earth Rotations
    - Dynamical time relative to the barycenter of the Solar System
Precession and Nutation

The Tropic of Cancer (Longitude which equals the Earth’s inclination) wanders hundreds of km in the course of a solar year.
Many Different Time Scales

Many different kinds of seconds

Sideral time is accrued relative to the passage of a distant star
Solar time is accrued relative to the reappearance of the Sun each day and depends on your latitude.
Equinoctial time is accrued relative to the Equinox pointing in a given direction
Ephemeris time is accrued based on the Earth’s orbit about the Sun
Polar Motion

The Earth’s geographic North Pole wanders by ten meters or more during the course of a solar year.
Transformation of Coordinates

• Motion of coordinate system implies many intermediate frames

• Primary use
  – Inertial (ECI) to Fixed (ECEF)
    • Numerical integration (ECI)
    • Apply Accelerations (ECEF)
Reference Frame Chronology

- IAU 1976 Precession
- IAU 1980 Nutation
- IAU 1982 Sidereal Time
- IAU 2000A Precession-Nutation
- Precession P03
- IERS Nutation Adjustment

Key dates and events:
- IAU 76/80/82 (FK5) Theories Effective
- ICRF Effective Adopted
- ICRF2 Effective
- IERS Tables

- VLBI operations begin
- IAU 2000A Precession-Nutation (MHB 2000); Sidereal Time, UT1

- IAU 2006 Precession (P03) Effective

Timeline:
- 1976
- 1980
- 1982
- 1984
- 1991
- 1998
- 2000
- 2003
- 2006
- 2009
- 2010

Resources:
- IERS Tables
- ICRF
- ICRF2
- IAU 2006
- IAU 2000A
- IAU 2000

Related to:
- Precession
- Nutation
- Sidereal Time
- UT1
- VLBI operations

For more information, visit:
www.centerforspace.com
Operations Required to Rectify One Kind of Reference Frame to Another (IAU 76/FK5)

Celestial Reference Frame

IAU 1976 \((z_A, \theta_A, \zeta_A)\)

IAU 1982 \((\Delta \varepsilon, \Delta \psi, \varepsilon_0, \delta, \Delta \varepsilon, \delta \Delta \psi)\)

Equinox based

Precession · Nutation

Sidereal Rotation

Polar Motion

\[ R_3[\theta_{GAST \cdot 1982}] \]

\[ R_2[-x_p] R_1[-y_p] \]

MOD

\(\Delta \varepsilon, \Delta \psi\)

(Tables)

[PN]

TOD

TOD

PEF

ITRF

Terrestrial Reference Frame

Traditional

Traditional Interpolation

1984 Procedures
Collision Estimation Technique
(AMC-11 and XM-3, 29 Jan 2011, 10:35 UTC)

NASA 0.75x5x5 Pizza Box and 5x5x25 Parallelepiped

Intersecting Covariance Ellipsoids

3 Km Diameter Sphere
CONJUNCTION ASSESSMENT

• Identifying satellites that might collide, determining the likelihood of collision, and providing sufficient information for determining courses of action

• The Interagency Debris Coordinating Committee concludes that (47th Session of the COPUOS S&T Subcommittee, Feb 2010):
  – “actionable conjunction assessments require precision orbital trajectory information with quantified uncertainty values”
  – “Currently available mean general perturbation elements are insufficient”

CCSDS Orbit Data Message Standards enable more precise and interoperable exchange of trajectory information.
DEVELOPING A STANDARD

• Guiding principles
  – Each element of data and metadata must be directly traceable to an operational need
  – Fields must be formatted to include accuracy and precision adequate for the purpose
  – The message must be as concise as possible without compromising content
  – Collaboration is essential
    • Technical experts and intended users must be involved.
DATA AND METADATA REQUIREMENTS

• A Conjunction Data Message must include the content of Orbit Data Messages for each conjunction partner.
  – Whether included in the CDM or transmitted independently is a pertinent question

• Minimum Essential Information
  – Estimated time of conjunction within the provider’s criteria
    • Knowing orbits of each conjunctor, the user should be able to infer everything else from the estimated time.
  – Criteria for a noteworthy conjunction
  – Required to understand the reaction time available.
Next Most Important Information

• Identities of the satellites and operational status (including avatars for protected identities)
  – Required to estimate consequences and collaborate.

• Closest approach between the satellites in a standard reference frame and coordinate system
  – Required to understand why one provider might have perceived a conjunction and another not perceive it.

• 3x3 Covariances for both objects in a well defined reference frame and coordinate system
  – Required to determine or confirm probability of collision
Required for Confidence and Courses of Action

• Kinematic state of each satellite at the time of closest approach expressed either as a state vector or ephemeris in a well defined orbit determination and propagation scheme.
  – Required to assess consequences and determine courses of action quickly
• Close approach threshold (provider’s minimum safe separation criterion)
  – Required because each operator has a different risk tolerance.
• Relative Velocity at closest approach in the same reference frame and coordinate system as the close approach distance
  – Required for assessing consequences and developing maneuvers
• Probability that the conjunction might actually lead to direct contact and metadata describing how the probability was estimated
  – Required by some recipients in order to judge the nature of operational action
STATUS AND PROGNOSIS

• First of a CDM White Book (ISO New Work Item Proposal) is available for comment
• White Book consensus expected in time for joint ISO SC14 – CCSDS meetings in Berlin in May 2011
• Promotion to full work item status in June 2011
• Coordination and comment within the affected community by Dec 2011
• “At risk” provisional use in 2012
CONCLUSION

• We have described collaborative development of the content, format, implementation, and operational use of timely information for mitigating the consequences of conjunctions among satellites.

• We encourage applying these advances in ground systems that support important space missions.

“In all … matters one must think of the range of parameters one has to … provide and the implicit assumptions others may be making about their relative significance.”

Duncan Steel, MARKING TIME: THE EPIC QUEST FOR THE PERFECT CALENDAR,” Wiley, 2000, pg 283