Working Group Outbrief

# **Ground System Architectures Workshop**



Session 11F

Lessons Learned from Developing Net-Centric and Service-Oriented Ground Systems

Mary Nichols, The Aerospace Corporation Daniel Balderston, The Aerospace Corporation Eltefaat Shokri, The Aerospace Corporation Morris Brill, Northrop Grumman



## Session Goals

- Working Group is a forum for practitioners to share experiences and lessons learned in implementing fielded and fieldable net-centric (NC) and service oriented architectures (SOA) as a means of "Expanding Access to Information "
  - Structure a forum for formal and informal presentations by panelists <u>and</u> attendees
  - Encourage energetic Q&A and discussions
- Identify lessons learned and guidelines on effective use of NC/SOA
- Identify challenges and mitigations applying NC and SOA
- Provide lessons learned to "Architecture-Centric Evolution (ACE): Software Architecture Recommendations for Net-Centric and Service-Oriented Ground Systems" Working Group evening session (Session 12)



## Questions Used to Trigger Discussion

- Was NC/SOA an explicit mandate or an architecture decision to satisfy existing requirements?
- What resistance was encountered from technical, non-technical, and the programmatic sides?
- What was the governing body coordinating the system with its customer?
- How was legacy software or systems integrated into NC/SOA framework?
- Where did promises pay off? What were the pitfalls?
- How were the following addressed?
  - Cost of Ownership, Evolvability, Reliability, Scalability, Extensibility, Interoperability
- Were the COTS products and standard protocols mature and satisfactory?
- What standards, technologies, products have taken hold, which are diminishing?
- What would be done differently next time?
- How was the users' experience managed?
- What performance issues were encountered and how were they resolved?



- Formal presentations by panelists
- Attendees will be given opportunity to share their experiences and lessons learned in five minute short talks — <u>please sign up during presentations</u>

#### 13:00 Introduction

### 13:15 Presentations by Panelists

"By the Book" NC/SOA Implementations "Off-the-Rails" NC/SOA Implementations

15:00 Break

15:15 Participant Short Talks and Discussion 17:00 Adjourn



### Presenters/Panelists

• Moderator

Daniel Balderston, The Aerospace Corporation

- Presenters
  - "OCX Lessons Learned"
    - Murray Conary, Raytheon
  - "DCGS-IC Lessons Learned"
    Michael Loomis, The Aerospace Corporation
  - "Architecting Net-Centric Access to Environmental Intelligence" Morris Brill, Northrop Grumman
  - "GMSEC Overview"
    - LaMont Ruley, NASA
  - "GPS User Equipment"

David Goldstein, The Aerospace Corporation



### Lessons Learned

- Reuse or creation of services must be incentivized or mandated
  - PMs must be motivated to create services
    - Incentives do not exist when the benefit of SOA is reuse for some other program not within the funding line
    - PMs do what is best for their program and what they can afford given continued shrinking budgets across the DoD
- User engagement is vital; drives relevancy, buy-in
- Prototype or demonstrate early and often for buy-in and success
- Definitions are important. Ensure all participants agree on a common definition. e.g., net-centricity
- Granularity of services is an issue and must be actively managed. Governance must be designed in, early
- Data must be properly marked or tagged to be usable (but there is no effective enforcement of this)



### Observations

- SOA and net-centricity continue to mature, evolve
  - NC promised many benefits to users 15 years ago, but today we do not have a Global Information Grid and we still use Integrated Broadcast Service and Link 16 to push data to users
- Definitions of net-centricity and SOA are still converging
  - Some say that net-Centricity remains ill-defined\*
- SLA necessary to meet expectations
  - SLAs are hard to implement
- Enterprise SOAs have yet to be realized
- Commercial business models are playing a role in developing approaches to delivering services, apps, data, to users and other endpoints
  - There are significant differences between the commercial marketplace and the DoD minimizing chances that the DoD will follow commercial industry (for example, security)
- Shortfalls in exposing services and data may be limiting the benefits of net-centricity and SOA
  - The paradigm shift from Need to Know to Need to Share has not been fully realized

## Intriguing Points Made During Discussion

- Net-centricity  $\neq$  SOA
- SOAs are still stove-piped
- Services are not applications
- Users and developers view and use services (and apps) differently
- SOA is a paradigm
- ICDs as services
- There is a difference between commercial and government "business" models. Commercial marketplace is open, the Government "marketplace" is closed.
  - So will apps marketplaces work?
  - So will this minimize chances that DoD will follow commercial models (e.g., security)



- Why invest in data and services no one is using?
- Why, after all these years, are there no enterprise adoption of services? (Why are SOAs still stovepiped?)
- How can we reconcile increasing (exponential?) security demands and requirements with making progress with SOA and net-centric systems?
- Is net-centricity the true enterprise paradigm? (e.g., vs. SOA)



## Tweets from the Panelists: Take-Aways

- "GPS User Equipment" David Goldstein, The Aerospace Corporation Net-centricity is desirable and valuable
- "DCGS-IC Lessons Learned"
  Michael Loomis, The Aerospace Corporation
  Progress is being made. Participate. Contribute.
- "SOA Experience: GPS OCX Murray Conary, Raytheon
   There are challenges with NC and SOA. Programs are overcoming them.
- "Architecting Net-Centric Access to Environmental Intelligence" Morris Brill, Northrop Grumman
   Know your customer, Know Your User. Enable access to the data users need