

A Proposed Community Roadmap for Advancing the Practice of Model-Based Systems Engineering in Government Programs and Enterprises

Ryan Noguchi Director, System of Systems Engineering Office

3 November 2016

Introduction and Background

- Model-Based Systems Engineering (MBSE) is an emerging paradigm for improving the practice of systems engineering
- Integrated sets of system models are the focal point for knowledge management, technical communication, and data interchange



Benefits of MBSE for Government Enterprises

- Improved collective understanding of system capabilities, requirements, composition, functionality, behavior, interdependencies, and performance
- Better organization of technical information across system life cycles
- Less-ambiguous communication of requirements across contractual boundaries and organizational interfaces
- Improved efficiency in evaluating performance of architectural options
 - System models are a starting point for initializing analytical models in less time with less risk of error, and for organizing the results of trade studies
- Improved traceability and more efficient transition from early concept studies and capability-based assessments through all subsequent life cycle activities
- Ability to perform rapid, comprehensive impact assessment crossing architectural layers and organizational stovepipes
- Strengthened ability to architect enterprise-wide and cross-enterprise solutions by integrating knowledge and insight across the enterprise

MBSE improves insight to improve acquisition and sustainment decisions



Problem Statement

- The MBE/MBSE government/contractor community is large, varied, and each is working to address their own set of challenges
- Various professional societies (e.g., INCOSE, NDIA), technical workshops (e.g., GSAW) and consortia (e.g., OMG) recognize the challenges and are contributing in niche areas
- The interested organizations could benefit from a community roadmap that would define a series of achievable progressive steps to further advance and coordinate the accomplishments
- The community roadmap is proposed without "ownership," funding, or due dates but these can be added as the content is refined



Focus Areas for Advancing MBSE

Evolving Enterprise Systems Engineering

- Enhance decision-making, improve enterprise capabilities and resilience, and architect enterprise-wide solutions, by better integrating knowledge across the enterprise and its constituent programs
- Improving System Acquisition and Execution Outcomes
 - Reduce risk of delays, cost overruns, and underperformance in acquisition programs by improving the quality of systems engineering
- Institutionalizing Evolved Systems Engineering
 - Accelerate adoption of MBSE by addressing key cultural, organizational, and infrastructure challenges
- Advancing the State of MBSE Tools
 - Improve quality and capability of system modeling tools, particularly to address the unique needs of Government programs

Four focus areas for advancing MBSE for Government needs



Purpose of the Proposed Community Roadmap

- This Roadmap is a proposed starting point for planning investment and collaboration activities to advance the practice of MBSE in Government acquisition and sustainment
 - Focus is on goals, not on tasks
- Aerospace's Model-Based Engineering Community of Interest created a similar MBSE Roadmap to inform near-term investment and collaboration
 - Resulted in positive outcomes, including this Systems Engineering Forum
- Community input is requested to identify high-priority areas for which near-term collaboration should begin
 - What areas should we focus on?
 - What are the best mechanisms for collaborating to make progress?
 - What organization takes the lead on an item? When can it be accomplished?
- We hope that collaboration within this Community results in a consensus Roadmap that can unify our individual efforts toward common goals



Timeframes in the Roadmap

Four timeframes have been identified in the Roadmap

- Work to Date
 - Captures the typical progress made to date in Government application of MBSE
- Near-Term Goals
 - Identifies near-term objectives the community should strive to achieve in the next year or two
- Long-Term Goals
 - Identifies more challenging objectives the community should attempt to address once significant progress has been made in near-term goals
- End-State
 - Represents the desired state of MBSE in Government practice for which we no longer need to consider MBSE to be something different than SE
 - When all SE is MBSE



	Work to Date	Near Term	Longer Term	End State
Enabling Enterprise Systems Engineering	 DoDAF products built as models in modeling tools, not just pictures Modeling pilots provide valuable experience in building models and using modeling tools MBSE initiatives are largely stovepiped, not well coordinated 	 Demonstrate & document value in MBSE transition projects Develop interoperable methods, including common metamodels, to enable model sharing at enterprise and system levels Improve quality and speed of engineering and technical baseline change processes 	 Integrate enterprise architecture with system models to provide multi-level insight Manage technical baselines entirely from model; documents extracted from model Enterprise CM is model-centric Standard metamodel enables improved model interoperability 	 Improved enterprise situational awareness through federated enterprise and system models Decisions made based on holistic assessment of impacts across all interfaces and stakeholder perspectives
Improving System Acquisition and Execution Outcomes	 Contractors deploying MBSE more frequently in Government development programs Aerospace ATR and Workshop on MBSE Guidance for Government-Acquired Programs 	 Demonstrate & document MBSE value to near-term development and acquisition programs Develop approaches to improve mission assurance via MBSE Refine leading indicators for proactive application of MBSE Refine practice of model-based SE reviews and audits 	 Models facilitate concurrent engineering analysis throughout life cycle to support trades Broaden application of MBSE across portfolio of programs MB RFPs and proposals, and MB source selections Tight integration with specialty engineering models 	 Models used as primary means to capture and communicate knowledge across life cycle Models serve as requirements and deliverables for acquisitions Modeling eliminates SE escapes, resulting in better, more affordable systems
Institutionalizing Evolved Systems Engineering	 Growing interest in MBSE pilot and demonstration projects provide experience in using multiple tools and methods Improving stakeholder awareness of benefits of MBSE 	 MBSE training at multiple levels Disciplined processes for MBSE transition effort planning Reusable framework for MBSE tool evaluation and selection Collect metrics on MBSE value Publicize positive experiences to build community confidence 	 Standardized metamodel for improved model interoperability Tools to facilitate model use & updates by non-modelers Improved visual appeal of model views for non-technical stakeholders Update IEEE 15288 to better align with MBSE practice 	 SE and MBSE are synonymous Models are used by all as the Single Source of Truth Interoperable models enable knowledge synergy across domains and organizations Models are transparent to users Update IEEE 15288 to reflect MBSE as standard SE practice
Advancing the State of MBSE Tools	 Community effort largely driven by other industries Shortfalls of existing tools becoming more apparent Model and data interoperability between tools is still limited 	 Improve federation of models with analytical and simulation tools Improve interoperability of models between MBSE tools Address classification, information compartmenting, and IP issues 	 Model use and updates mostly done by non-model-experts Data exchanges are largely automated with consistent semantics 	 Tool selection driven more by tradeoffs of features than tradeoffs of limitations Seamless data exchange via common data standards and collaborative frameworks



	Work to Date	Near Term	Longer Term	End State
Enabling Enterprise Systems Engineering	 DoDAF products built as models in modeling tools, not just pictures Modeling pilots provide valuable experience in building models and using modeling tools MBSE initiatives are largely stovepiped, not well coordinated 	 Demonstrate & document value in MBSE transition projects Develop interoperable methods, including common metamodels, to enable model sharing at enterprise and system levels Improve quality and speed of engineering and technical baseline change processes 	 Integrate enterprise architecture with system models to provide multi-level insight and improve performance, robustness, and resiliency Manage technical baselines entirely from models; documents extracted from models Enterprise config. management is model-centric, not document-centric Standard metamodel enables improved model interoperability 	 Improved enterprise situational awareness through federated enterprise and system models Decisions are made based on holistic assessment of impacts across all interfaces and stakeholder perspectives Optimize enterprise performance, robustness, and resiliency through enterprise-wide insight provided by MBSE



	Work to Date	Near Term	Longer Term	End State
Improving System Acquisition and Execution Outcomes	 Contractors deploying MBSE more frequently in Government development programs Aerospace ATR and Workshop on MBSE Guidance for Government- Acquired Programs 	 Demonstrate & document MBSE value to near-term development and acquisition programs Develop approaches to improve mission assurance via MBSE Refine leading indicators for proactive application of MBSE Refine practice of model-based SE reviews and audits 	 Models facilitate concurrent engineering analysis throughout life cycle to support trades Broaden application of MBSE across portfolio of programs MB RFPs and proposals, and MB source selections Tight integration with specialty engineering models Reuse of components and patterns from model libraries is the norm rather than the exception 	 Models used as primary means to capture and communicate knowledge across life cycle Models serve as requirements and deliverables for acquisitions Modeling eliminates SE escapes, resulting in better, more affordable systems Achieve DoD vision for Digital Thread & Digital Twin



	Work to Date	Near Term	Longer Term	End State
Institutionalizing Evolved Systems Engineering	 Growing interest in MBSE pilot and demonstration projects provide experience in using multiple tools and methods Improving stakeholder awareness of benefits of MBSE 	 MBSE training at multiple levels Disciplined processes for MBSE transition effort planning Reusable framework for MBSE tool evaluation and selection Collect metrics on MBSE value Publicize positive experiences with MBSE to build confidence in value of MBSE 	 Standardized metamodel for improved model interoperability Tools to facilitate model use & updates by non- modelers Improved visual appeal of model views for non- technical stakeholders Update IEEE 15288 to better align with MBSE practice 	 SE and MBSE are synonymous Models are used by all as the Single Source of Truth Interoperable models enable knowledge synergy across domains and organizations Models are transparent to users Update IEEE 15288 to reflect MBSE as the standard SE practice
				\sim

AEROSPACE

	Work to Date	Near Term	Longer Term	End State
Advancing the State of MBSE lools	 Community effort largely driven by other industries Shortfalls of existing tools becoming more apparent Model and data interoperability between tools is still limited 	 Improve federation of models with analytical and simulation tools Improve interoperability of models between MBSE tools Address security classification, information compartmenting, and intellectual property protection limitations of tools 	 Model use and updates largely accessible by non- model-experts Data exchange between data stores and analyses largely automated and not hampered by human misinterpretation 	 Tool selection driven more by tradeoffs of features than tradeoffs of limitations Seamless data exchange between Government agencies and contractors through the use of common data standards and collaborative frameworks



Mechanisms for Collaboration

- Mission Assurance Improvement Workshop (MAIW)
 - FY17 topic related to MBSE (Mission Assurance Considerations for MBSE)
- NDIA Systems Engineering Modeling and Simulation Working Group
 - Developing the Model-based RFP
- **INCOSE** Working Groups
 - Space Systems WG, Model-Based Conceptual Design WG, etc.
- **Object Management Group (OMG)**
 - Domain-specific standards extending SysML, UPDM to improve interoperability of models
- Ground System Architectures Workshop (GSAW)
- Others?



Proposed Next Steps

- Feedback from you on the roadmap in 2 weeks
- Identify organizations to refine roadmap steps and commit to them
- Report out progress



Conclusion

- Again, this Roadmap is intended to serve as a starting point to seed the discussion
- We're looking for inputs from Government and Industry of the highpriority areas to focus near-term collaboration efforts
 - What areas should we focus on?
 - What are the best mechanisms for collaborating to make progress?
- We hope to initiate collaboration within this Community to develop a consensus Roadmap that can unify our individual efforts toward common goals of achieving mature MBSE capabilities in Government acquisition and sustainment programs and enterprises



BACKUP



Mission Assurance Considerations in Model Based Engineering for Space Systems

Team (Traditional Topic)	Problem Statement	Examples	
Team Leads Dave Gianetto (RTN) TBD (Harris) Marilee Wheaton (Aerospace) Team Members Michael Chory (MIT/LL) Al Hoheb (Aerospace) Aliki Loper-Keddy (SSL) Chris Schreiber (LM) Bill Sharp (Boeing)	Traditional systems engineering methods where requirements baselines, hardware/software models, and product assurance criteria are all managed independent of solution architectures are insufficient to address the demands for resilient systems at lower price points and faster fielding. An approach for integration of these typically disparate elements is needed to leverage developments in model based engineering (MBE) into an effective execution framework. Any such approach, where models and software based systems and tools can replace documentation in the V&V flow, must retain mission assurance through the program lifecycle.	Simulations, like Matlab, do not link to performance requirements in execution systems resulting in models that may not agree with provided hardware. A legacy NSS program has experienced delays in configuration change management and system upgrades due in part to lack of integration of multiple SV configurations, diverse user requirements, and a document-based V&V baseline.	
Stakeholders	Charter	Products	
SC Champions: Mark Baldwin, Raytheon Anne Ramsey, Harris October 13, 2016, incorporating Co-lead comments U.S. Space Program Mission Assurance	 Define an approach for executing MBE while retaining essential mission assurance processes and deliverables. Determine how mission assurance execution and output/reporting may change when using a MBE approach. Consider several program execution MA processes in TOR-2011(8591)-21 (e.g. Requirements Analysis, Reliability, Configuration Management) as part of an essential set. Identify a minimum set of model capabilities required to execute the MA processes. Stretch task: Investigate how the roles of quality assurance and inspection might change in an environment where traditional paper outputs and physical inspection may be replaced by models and virtual inspection embedded into manufacturing processes. 	 Produce a guidelines and/or best practices document for executing model based engineering in concert with essential MA processes and deliverables on a typical space segment program. In addition to the charter elements, include the following; Basic description of MBE. Six MA Selected Process Areas: Requirements Analysis and Validation; Design Assurance; Reliability Engineering, System Safety; Configuration/Change Management, Independent Reviews Identify any new developments or roadblocks to implementation. Create an addendum to TOR-2011(8591)-21 that summarizes charter item #2 for each MA process evaluated. 	
Improvement Workshop			

