— Working Group Session Summary —

Human Systems Integration: Tools and Techniques

Session 4B Suzanne M. Dawes, Aerospace



Session Goals

Background:

- Space systems acquisitions have inherent human systems integration challenges (user integration accounts for 40-60% of lifecycle costs):
 - Increasing information demands on operators/maintainers
 - Requirements for operators/maintainers to perform jobs in new/different ways
 - Continuing pressure to reduce manpower
- Goals:
 - Discuss perspective of key stakeholders responsible for acquiring, developing, operating and maintaining systems
 - Understand human factors tools and techniques that can be used in the development of operational systems



Presenters/Panelists

United States Air Force (USAF)
Capt. Denise Hadley, 1st Lt. Florencio Mendoza
United States Army
Mr. Craig Bergquist
Morthrop Grumman
Mr. Jose Fernandez, Mr. Butch Lucero, Ms. Janeen Sharma, Ms. Jennifer Rousey, Mr. Lee Harkless
The Aerospace Corporation
Mr. Norman Goyette



Presenters/Panelists

- Paper: Is less more when using and creating checklists?
 - Graphical User Interface (GUI) assessment checklists
- <u>Paper:</u> Transportable Mobile System: Amputation by Antenna (Almost)
 - Need for operational considerations in design
- Panel Discussion: Views from participants in an Operability Working Group.
 - A realistic look at Operability Working Groups via the viewpoints of panel members with experience in developing, conducting, and participating in operability working groups



Key Points

- Addressing humans in the design often occurs too late in the process – "Humane Engineering"
 - Should start in the requirements phase
- Human factors engineering expertise required at both the contractor and government team
- Need to develop adaptable human factors tools that can be used throughout the development lifecycle – dynamic checklists
- Successfully incorporating user into the design requires commitment by multiple stakeholders
 - Management buy-in essential
 - Need "enlightened" individuals to drive process



Key Points (cont'd)

Successful Operability Working Groups

- Have clearly defined goals as well as an approved charter
 - Stable representation
 - Document agreements and action items
 - At the outset, approve/define CONOPS, operator roles and responsibilities
 - Resolve different interpretations (contractor, operator)
 - CONOPS may differ by sites (fixed vs. mobile) and user communities
- Address full range of human factors concerns including: Staffing, Hardware, Software, Procedures, Training



Key Points (cont'd) Successful Operability Working Groups Keep focus on operability issues Minimize discussions on programmatic issues Address topics in user terms Minimize stovepiping What is good for one operator position may not be appropriate for all operator positions in system



Key Points (cont'd)

- Human Performance Testing (operability assessment)
 - Must be conducted by unbiased HFE third parties
 - Select representative users for full range of operator experience - Novice to experienced
 - Piggy-back on existing testing where possible
 - Test under off-nominal conditions most likely to expose operability issues
- Government must ensure appropriate human factors related requirements, products, standards and specifications are on contract



To Sum it Up

Golly-gee-whiz technology is great, however...

If the human can't operate it, maintain it, and support it, it's no good.

Remember the warfighter!!!!!

