Acquisition Strategies for Service-Oriented Architected Spacecraft Command and Control Systems

Geri Chaudhri

Geraldine.a.Chaudhri@aero.org

(310) 336-1091 The Aerospace Corporation March 1, 2011



Introduction

- Trend for Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) being embraced by space community
- CCSDS has published draft standards for a SOA based Spacecraft Command and Control Systems
- Programs such as GPS in process of acquiring a SOA based Command and Control System
- Challenges exist for the acquisition organization
- Strategies to address challenges





SOA Defined

- A paradigm for organizing and utilizing distributed capabilities that may be under the control of different ownership domains
- It provides a uniform means to offer, discover, interact with and use capabilities to produce the desired effects consistent with measurable preconditions and expectations
- In its simplest form, a Service-Oriented Architecture is a collection of services
 - Services are defined as intrinsically unassociated units of functionality, which have no calls to each other embedded in them



EXAMPLE SOA using Web Services



Barry, Douglas K., *Web Services and Service-Oriented Architectures: The Savvy Manager's Guide*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Francisco, CA, 2003, p. 23



GSAW 2011

Spacecraft Command and Control Services

- Mission Planning
- Spacecraft Commanding
- Telemetry Processing
- Orbit Determination and Analysis
- Payload Management
- Simulation
- Procedure Development and Execution
- Data Analysis



Challenges

- Developing the Right Set of Requirements
 - Need to adapt requirements to available products and services
- Developing a System Architecture that is Compatible with Diverse Products
 - Large effort required to standardize product interfaces as well as keeping system secure
- Integrating Many Diverse Products
 - Allocating sufficient time and resources to this effort may require rework of existing scheduling models.







Strategies

- Developing the "Right Set" of Requirements
 - Keep system requirements at a high level
 - Specifying too much detail in the system requirements limits the solution set and makes decomposing the requirements very difficult, causing redundancy at lower levels.
 - Prototype often to test out the feasibility of requirements with regard to goals, risk and stakeholder buy-in
 - Involve the end users in prototype demonstrations
 - Prioritize the requirements from most to least mission critical
 - Delay the establishment of the requirements baseline until the necessary prototyping is completed



Strategies

- Developing a System Architecture that is Compatible with Diverse Products
 - Designate a dedicated group to define or oversee the selection of the middleware or communication protocol
 - Describe the architecture in the simplest means possible
 - Define performance and security requirements up front
 - Perform trade studies while prototyping various architectures against defined criteria
 - Select products and vendors that can easily conform to selected architecture
 - Maximize use of COTS and/or reuse and legacy software when possible



Strategies

- Integrating Many Diverse Products
 - Allocate at least 50% of the development schedule to integration and test
 - Develop a formal plan for integration and test and adhere to it
 - Ensure that best practices and standards are used while executing integration and test
 - Use proven test methods and certified test equipment
 - Employ an independent test organization to verify the functionality



Software Acquisition – Capability Maturity Model*

- 5 levels
 - Initial Ad hoc acquisition process
 - Repeatable Processes are in place to plan, manage, evaluate and transition the product
 - Defined Acquisition process is documented and standardized
 - Quantitative Detailed measures of the acquisition processes and processes are collected
 - Optimizing Continuous process improvement is fostered by quantitative feedback from the process

* Software Acquisition Capability Maturity Model (SA-CMM) Version 1.03 Copyright: Software Engineering Institute – Carnegie Mellon



Software Acquisition – Capability Maturity Model*

- Process Areas
 - Level 1 Initial: None
 - Level 2 Repeatable
 - Software Acquisition Planning
 - Solicitation
 - Requirements Development and Management
 - Project Management
 - Contract Tracking and Oversight
 - Evaluation
 - Transition to Support

* Software Acquisition Capability Maturity Model (SA-CMM) Version 1.03 Copyright: Software Engineering Institute – Carnegie Mellon



Software Acquisition – Capability Maturity Model*

- Process Areas (continued)
 - Level 3 Defined:
 - Process Definition and Maintenance
 - User Requirements
 - Project Performance Management
 - Contract Performance Management
 - Acquisition Risk Management
 - Training Program Management
 - Level 4 Quantitative:
 - Quantitative Process Management
 - Quantitative Acquisition Management
 - Level 5 Optimizing:
 - Continuous Process Improvement
 - Acquisition Innovation Management

* Software Acquisition Capability Maturity Model (SA-CMM) Version 1.03 Copyright: Software Engineering Institute – Carnegie Mellon



Software Acquisition – Capability Maturity Model - Tailored

- If Level 2 add "Acquisition Risk Management" and "User Requirements"
- Merge "Requirements Development and Management" and "User Requirements" into one process area
- Inform end user of acquisition strategy
- Hold off on placing the requirements under change control until the necessary prototyping is done
- Performance and security requirements should be established early and placed under change control before the prototyping effort begins



Conclusions

- A SOA based system offers multiple benefits
 - Interoperability, reusability, expandability, maintainability
 - Cost reductions and improved service to users
- Challenges to acquisition organization can be overcome by:
 - Involving the end user early
 - Adapting requirements to products
 - Prototyping before baselining requirements
 - Selecting vendors that will bear the cost of standardization
 - Tailoring Software Acquisition Capability Maturity Model

