

Parallel Agile Software Development

Barry Boehm, USC GSAW STEM Session February 27, 2019

© 2019 by USC. Published by The Aerospace Corporation with permission.

SERC; USC

1



Scale by adding developers, not stretching the calendar

- Big projects don't add more sprints, they add more developers working in parallel
- Small, medium, (reasonably) large projects take the same amount of time (roughly 3 months) if enough developers are available
 - No, we can't do an entire crisis management system in 3 months
- Merge and integrate at the end of each phase
- Test team works concurrently with developers for each phase

Sequential Agile proceeds in a series of 2-week sprints. Bigger projects require more sprints and take longer to complete.

2 Week Sprint	at 2 Week Sprint	2 Week Sprint	2 Week Sprint	2 Week Sprint	•••	2 Week Sprint	2 Week Sprint	2 Week Sprint 국구구구	2 Week Sprint	2 Week Sprint
Proof of Concept	Minimum Viable	Product	Initial Releas	•						\rightarrow







Parallel Agile proceeds in a series of three (roughly) month-long development efforts. Bigger projects require more developers but development time remains at approximately 3 months total.



Get to market faster without sacrificing quality

- 3 phases: Proof of concept, MVP, Initial Release
 - Each phase approximately a month long
 - Proof of concept uses storyboarding, prototyping to discover requirements, reduce risk
 - MVP uses UML modeling, details sunny/rainy day scenarios, reduce technical debt
 - Initial Release focuses on automated code generation, acceptance testing, performance tuning, optimization, reduce hotfixes



Principles and Practices for 3 Successful Systems and Software



Database access code doesn't get written manually



2-27-2019

in round numbers this might be 20-40% of your code



– Current status

- 2014-2015 Location Based Advertising (75 students)
 - Implemented commercially; discontinued due to low sales
- 2015 Picture Sharing (12 students)
 - Experiment comparison with Architected Agile project
 - PA project faster, less effort; comparable performance
- 2016-2018 CarmaCam (75 students)
 - In LA-Metro experimental use for bus-lane monitoring
 - Several additional organizations, applications interested
- 2017-2018 TikiMan Go Game project (25 students)
 - Being prepared for commercial application



- Three Team approach; similar to Bosch ART approach
 - Agile Rebaselining: Keeper Of The Project Vision/Architecture
 - USC: Rosenberg: Ensure MVC compliance, rainy-day use cases
 - TRW: Systems Engineering team; Handle all concurrency
 - Developers and Product Owners:
 - Rapid concurrent development
 - Independent Verification and Validation
 - Continuous across development



Parallel Agile Three-Team Approach



TRW Large-Scale PA Experience

- Walker Royce: 1-million SLOC Command-Control System
- Extensive early architecture and risk resolution; all concurrency done by 10 experts Traditional"TRW" (Boehm."1981))



47 sequential-Ada programmers; Executing Arch. Skeleton

- Neil Siegel: several even-larger systems
 - Very high productivity; low error rate
 - Proof of value: worse productivity, error rate when new customer forced traditional approach; full productivity resumed when original approach resumed





• Computer Science

– Model-View-Controller architecture

• Software Technology

- Automatic code generation

- Software Engineering
 - Storyboarding, prototyping, 3-team approach
- Mathematics
 - Statistically-based cost estimation model



Parallel Agile and USC STEM Efforts

- Masters students
 - Learn about new technologies
 - Learn how to apply them
- PhD students
 - Learn how to create new technology
 - Learn how to test hypotheses about its effects