Breakout Session Summary —

Multi-Organization Acquisitions: Program Management, Costs & Lessons Learned







Session Goals

- How does the addition of organizational complexity contribute to the difficulties of acquisitions?
- How can Acquisition Strategy/Program Execution mitigate these difficulties?



Presenters/Panelists

- Lt. Col. Lani Smith, SMC/MCC & Mr. Sid Hollander, The Aerospace Corp., "Lessons Learned from Sucessful Acquisition of Milsatcom S-Band Control System"
- Mr. Richard Adams & Dr Anil Gupta, The Aerospace Corp, "System Dynamics Applied to SMC Acquisitions"
- Mr. Scott Carey, Lockheed Martin, "AEHF Mission Control Segment Acquisition Strategy"
- Mr. Steve Stoops, The Aerospace Corp., "NATO SATCOM Post 2000 Lessons Learned"
- Mr. Darryl Webb, The Aerospace Corp, "The Cost of Organizational Structures & Interfaces"



Key Points

- Benefits of multi-organization acquisition
 - Expertise, diversity, interoperability
 - Sometimes it's not a choice
- When is it effective?
 - Clear, shared goals
 - **Shared Lexicon** Agreed upon processes
 - Buy-in from Sr. Management or Stakeholders on
 - establishing the processes
 - Established organizational interfaces
 - Partners have complementary skills, products & processes

Key Points

- When is a multi-organization acquisition not effective?
 - No champion
 - Too many stakeholders with divergent needs
 - Lack of shared understanding
 - Goals, strategy, customer, interfaces & processes
 - As organizational complexity grows you hit a knee in the curve when pursuing the acquisition is no longer worthwhile due to escalation of "hidden costs" (includes \$ & schedule) from the additional interfaces, increased communication & communication intensity

At that point a stovepipe looks like a good thing!

Key Points

- Mitigation of Effects of Organizational Complexity
 - Recognize issue upfront, plan for it
 - Understand the constraints imposed
 - Establish authority to proceed & get buy-in
 - Establish business rules & rhythms to determine how you work together as a team
 - Establish integrated set of procedures
 - Pick subs upfront and treat as teammates
 - Baseline change control process
 - Shorten the decision cycle time across formal & informal boundaries
 - Time to coordinate a position → ∞ as waves of changes flow down & rework grows

Other Lessons Learned

- Separate Grd System & Satellite Contracts can work
 - Grd System is not a discriminator
- Demonstration phase can reduce risk & proposal uncertainties w/minimal schedule impact
- Baseline CONOPS early
- Don't reinvent legacy requirements
- Government doesn't have to be the integrator
 - Satellite Contractor led IPTs
- Budget people and time for source selection
- Budget cost & schedule for component refresh & sustainment
- Ensure source selection process includes risk assessment
- Just Say "No" to requirements creep



Conclusions

- Multi-Organization Acquisitions:
 - Have clear benefits sometimes
 - Are required most of the time
 - Bring additional interfaces & overall complexity
 - Are more costly (time & \$)
 - Require significant upfront planning & process development to create an effective team with a shared understanding
 - Can be successful