

Architecture Technology That's Worth Your Investment



“don't settle for fluff”

Richard N. Taylor
Institute for Software Research
University of California, Irvine

taylor@uci.edu
www.ics.uci.edu/~taylor

Architecture-Based Software Engineering

“An approach to software systems development and evolution which uses as its **primary** abstraction a model of the system’s components, connectors, and interconnection topology”

- ⌘ Domain engineering and requirements definition *may* precede
- ⌘ OOD *may* supplement; coding follows
- ⌘ But the architecture remains the **primary** focus for all system evolution. It is **technical**. It is **critical**.

So What's Different?



- ⌘ The degree to which architectures are made explicit, and how they are described
- ⌘ Using the description as the basis for implementation & evolution (static and dynamic)
 - ☑ it is not a throw-away model
- ⌘ The degree to which communication is separated from computation
- ⌘ The prominence given to connectors

“Free Investment Advice”



- ⌘ If your architectural model can't support you through implementation and system evolution, it is not worth your investment.
- ⌘ If you are only using your architectural model for “communication”, you are at best playing at engineering, and may be lying to yourself, your management, and your customers.

References



⌘ UC Irvine's architecture technologies

☑ ArchStudio (engineering environment)

<http://www.isr.uci.edu/projects/archstudio/>

☑ xADL (extensible XML-based ADL)

<http://www.isr.uci.edu/projects/xarchuci/>

What's Needed to Support It?



⌘ Appropriate descriptive formalisms

- ☑ Architecture description languages (ADLs)

⌘ Tools

- ☑ to carry out analyses, support editing, generate code, support run-time dynamism, etc.

⌘ Processes

- ☑ to create or recover architectures
- ☑ to integrate architecture-based development into the broader development and organizational contexts

Architecture-Based Dynamism

- ⌘ Component and connector addition, removal, replacement, and reconnection
- ⌘ Requires consistency maintenance
 - ☑ some guaranteed by *a priori* analysis
 - ☑ on-the-fly constraint enforcement
 - ☑ faithful architectural model
 - ☑ mapping changes to the implementation
- ⌘ Requires minimal disruption
 - ☑ state preservation, replication, migration
 - ☑ near-continuous service availability
 - ☑ reverting to reliable configurations

Key Facilitator: Connectors

⌘ Traditionally used in system modeling

- ☒ explicit in design, **indiscrete** in implementation

⌘ Provide a critical abstraction for dynamism

- ☒ should remain **discrete**, flexible entities in the implementation

- ☒ mediate communication between components

- ☒ specify communication mechanism independent of component behavior

- ☒ encapsulate change application policy

- ☒ boundaries for confining change scope

⌘ Communication using asynchronous messages

- ☒ reduces component communication dependencies

- ☒ how stateful is an event?

Architecture-Based Engineering Environment

⌘ Functional areas:

- ☒ Architecture development and analysis
- ☒ From model to implementation
- ☒ Evolution: static and dynamic
 - ☒ Rationale capture
- ☒ Multi-model support

Multi-model Support: xADL



⌘ XML-based representation of ADLs

- ☑ run-time and design-time elements of a system;
- ☑ support for architectural types;
- ☑ configuration management concepts such as versions, options, and variants;
- ☑ product family architectures;
- ☑ architecture "diff"ing

Summary



- ⌘ Architecture-based software engineering addresses the core issue of system design
 - ☑ takes some old and mainstream ideas and makes them better
 - ☒ design notations, domain knowledge rep.
 - ☑ leverages event-based interaction paradigm
 - ☑ leverages results in middleware
 - ☑ provides a viable basis for software reuse?
 - ☑ provides a viable basis for dynamic adaptation